

Short Communication

Photodermatitis from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

H. ADAMSKI, L. BENKALFATE, Y. DELAVAL, I. OLLIVIER, S. LE JEAN, G. TOUBEL, I. LE HIR-GARREAU AND
J. CHEVRANT-BRETON

Department of Dermatology, Pontchaillou Hospital, University of Rennes, Rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35033 Rennes,
France

Key words: topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; medicaments; photosensitivity; photopatch testing technique; cross-sensitivity; photoallergic contact dermatitis. © Munksgaard, 1998.

Patients and Methods

11 patients (6 men and 5 women; mean age 38 years) with confirmed photocontact dermatitis from topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been in our department from September 1993 to 1997. Clinical details are given in Table 1. 10 volunteers with no such histories participated as controls.

Photo and photopatch testing were performed, using a light source (Dermolum UM-W Müller Elektronik, Germany) equipped with a 1000 W xenon light and a 1000 W metal halide lamp. UVB and UVA radiation were filtered with a Schott WG 305 and 345 filter, respectively. Irradiance on the skin was 100 mW/cm² (Müller Elektronik dosimeter). The minimal erythema dose (MED) for UVB was evaluated as within normal limits for all subjects. The test substances were the topical gel used, as is, and its individual constituents; ketoprofen 1% pet., ibuprofen 5% pet., oxyphenbutazone 1%, phenylbutazone 1% pet., piroxicam 0.5% pet. and tenoxicam 0.5% pet. (1); ketoprofen 2.5% pet., diclofenac 5% pet., oxyphenbutazone 10% pet., phenylbutazone 10% pet., indomethacin 1% pet., bufexamac 5% pet., fenofibrate pet. (contents of Lipanthyl 200 capsule) and thi-

merosal 0.1% pet. 3 series of patch tests were placed on the back and arms using the Finn Chamber technique. 1 day after application, 2 series were exposed to UVB (0.75 MED) or UVA (10 J/cm²). Both irradiated and non-irradiated series were evaluated according to ICDRG guidelines 3 days (D3) and 6 days (D6) after application.

Results

Positive patch and photopatch test results are shown in Tables 2, 3. All control subjects showed negative reactions to all tests.

Discussion

Ketoprofen is confirmed as being the commonest cause of photocontact dermatitis from NSAIDs (1–14), though photosensitivity to systemic ketoprofen is exceptional (13). 3 patients required a patch test concentration of 2.5% rather than 1%. Discrepancy exists between experimental and clinical assessment of ketoprofen as a photoallergen (9, 15–18). Cross-sensitivity with other arylpropionic acid derivatives occurs, as in case no. 4 (1,

Table 1. Clinical details of cases

Case nos.	Sex/age (years)	Season	Onset of eczema after first application (days)	Topical gel used	Site of eczema	Duration eczema (days)
1	M/28	summer	16	Ketum	right knee	13
2	F/29	summer	15	Ketum	left knee	15
3	F/41	summer	19	Ketum	right knee then extension to 4 limbs	21
4	F/47	spring	5	Ketum	dorsum of left foot	15
5	M/18	summer	2	Ketum	left ankle	8
6	M/63	summer	8	Profenid	ankles then extension to 4 limbs	45
7	F/22	summer	5	Ketum	left ankle then extension to legs	21
8	M/41	summer	4	Profenid	left ankle	15
9	M/64	summer	7	Ketum	right elbow	15
10	M/32	summer	8	Ketum	left shoulder	21
11	F/32	spring	3	Voltarene Emulgel	left knee	8

Both Ketum gel and Profenid gel contain ketoprofen 2.5%. Voltarene Emulgel contains diclofenac 1%.

* 3 months later, case no. 4 developed a photodistributed eruption after ingestion of tiaprofenic acid.

Table 2. Patch and photopatch test results (I)

Case nos.		Topical gel used			Ketoprofen pet.		Diclofenac	
		Trade name	as is	Excipients	2.5%	1%	5% pet.	1% alc.
1	PT	Ketum	NT	NT	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		NT	NT	++	++	-	NT
	UVB		NT	NT	+	+	-	NT
2	PT	Ketum	-	-	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		++	-	++	++	-	NT
	UVB		+	-	-	-	-	NT
3	PT	Ketum	-	-	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		++	-	++	+	-	NT
	UVB		++	-	+	+	-	NT
4	PT	Ketum	-	-	-	-	NT	NT
	UVA		++	-	++	++	NT	NT
	UVB		-	-	-	-	NT	NT
5	PT	Ketum	-	-	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		+++	-	++	+++*	-	NT
	UVB		++	-	++	+++*	-	NT
6	PT	Profenid	NT	NT	++	-	-	NT
	UVA		NT	NT	+++	+++	-	NT
	UVB		NT	NT	+++	++	-	NT
7	PT	Ketum	-	-	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		+++	-	+++	-	-	NT
	UVB		++	-	++	-	-	NT
8	PT	Profenid	NT	NT	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		NT	NT	++	-	-	NT
	UVB		NT	NT	+	-	-	NT
9	PT	Ketum	-	-	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		+++	-	++	-	-	NT
	UVB		+++	-	-	-	-	NT
10	PT	Ketum	+	-	-	-	-	NT
	UVA		+++	-	++	+	-	NT
	UVB		+	-	+	-	-	NT
11	PT	Voltarene	-	-	-	-	-	-
	UVA	Emulgel	++	-	-	-	-	+
	UVB		-	-	-	-	-	-

PT: patch test, NT: not tested. -: negative reaction at D3 and D6. +, ++ or +++: positive reaction at D3.

*Positive reaction at D6.

2, 19–21). A common benzoyl ketone or benzophenone structure explains the cross-sensitivity observed in 5 cases to fenofibrate (1, 14, 22). Reactions to phenylbutazone, oxyphenbutazone and thimerosal are considered due to multiple sensitization (23). Photocontact dermatitis from diclofenac (case no. 11) has not previously been reported, and required its dilution in alcohol, rather than petrolatum, to be demonstrated (2, 15–17, 23–25).

Acknowledgements

We thank Menarini and Ciba Geigy for supplying the medicament constituents.

References

- Ophaswongse S, Maibach H. Topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: allergic and photoallergic contact dermatitis and phototoxicity. *Contact Dermatitis* 1993; 29: 57–64.
- Pigatto P D, Morzanica M, Bigardi A S, Legori A, Valsecchi R, Cusano F, Tosti A, Guarrega M, Balato N, Sertoli A. Topical NSAID allergic contact dermatitis. Italian experience. *Contact Dermatitis* 1993; 29: 39–41.
- Romaguera C, Alomar A, Lecha M. Dermatoses de contact aux anti-inflammatoires non-stéroïdiens. *10ème Cours du Gerda* 1989: 227–238.
- Leonard F, Kalis B, Adamski H, Amblard P, Bazex J, Beani J C, Bonnevalle A, Bottlaender A, Bourrain J L, Chevrant-Breton J, Dordain M L, Gillet-Tervier M N, Grosshans E, Heid E, Jeanmougin M, Kalis B, Leonard F, Leroy D, Manciet J R, Marguery M C, Martinet P, Meynadier J, Moreau A, Peyron J L, Roger H, Sayag J, Schmutz J L, Souteyrand P, Thomas P. La nouvelle batterie standard des photopatch tests en France. Étude prospective 1993–1995 de la SFPD. *Nouv Dermatol* 1996; 15: 343–349.
- Alomar A. Ketoprofen photodermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis* 1985; 12: 112–113.
- Cusano F, Rafanelli A, Bachillega R, Errico G. Photocontact dermatitis from ketoprofen. *Contact Dermatitis* 1987; 17: 108–109.
- Lanzarini M, Bardezzi F, Morelli R, Reggiani M. Contact allergy to ketoprofen. *Contact Dermatitis* 1989; 21: 51.

Table 3. Patch and photopatch test results (II)

Case no.		Fenofibrate pet.	Thimerosal 0.1% pet.	Phenylbutazone		Oxyphenbutazone 10% pet.	Tiaprofenic acid pet.
				1% pet.	10% pet.		
1	PT	–	–	++	++	++	NT
	UVA	+	–	++	++	++	NT
	UVB	–	–	++	++	++	NT
2	PT	–	–	–	–	–	NT
	UVA	+	++	–	–	–	NT
	UVB	–	–	–	–	–	NT
4	PT	NT	NT	–	–	–	–
	UVA	NT	NT	–	–	–	+
	UVB	NT	NT	–	–	–	–
5	PT	+	–	++	++	–	NT
	UVA	+++	–	++	++	–	NT
	UVB	++	–	++	++	–	NT
6	PT	++	–	++	++	–	NT
	UVA	++	–	++	++	–	NT
	UVB	++	–	++	++	–	NT
7	PT	–	++	–	–	–	NT
	UVA	+++	++	–	–	–	NT
	UVB	+	++	–	–	–	NT
3, 8–11	PT	–	–	–	–	–	NT
	UVA	–	–	–	–	–	NT
	UVB	–	–	–	–	–	NT

Negativity of the other tests (tenoxicam 0.5%, piroxicam 0.5%, oxyphenbutazone 1%, indomethacin 1%, ibuprofen 5% and bufexamac 5%) in all patients.

PT: patch test, NT: not tested. –: negative reaction at D3 and D6. +, ++ or +++: positive reaction at D3.

8. Tosti A, Gaddoni G, Valeri F, Bardezzi F. Contact allergy to ketoprofen: report of 7 cases. *Contact Dermatitis* 1990; 23: 112–113.
9. Mozzanica N, Pigatto P D. Contact and photocontact allergy to ketoprofen: clinical and experimental study. *Contact Dermatitis* 1990; 23: 336–340.
10. Lembo G, Patrino C, Mozzariello C, Aurricchio L, Ayala F. Fotodermatiti allergiche do contatto da chetoprofene. *Ann Ital Dermatol Clin Sper* 1991; 45: 103–104.
11. Catrani S, Calista D, Arcangelli F, Landi G. Photoallergic dermatitis to topical ketoprofen. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol* 1992; 127: 167–168.
12. Cusano F, Copozzi M. Photocontact dermatitis from ketoprofen with cross reactivity to ibuprofen. *Contact Dermatitis* 1992; 27: 50–51.
13. Bastien M, Milpied-Homsy B, Baudot S, Dutartre H, Litoux P. Photosensibilisation de contact au kétoprofène: 5 observations. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 1997; 124: 523–526.
14. Jeanmougin M, Petit A, Manciet J R, Sigal M, Dubertret L. Eczéma photoallergique de contact au kétoprofène. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 1996; 123: 255–257.
15. Ljunggren B. Propionic acid derived non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are phototoxic in vitro. *Photodermatol* 1985; 2: 3–9.
16. Berthod F, Beani J C, Cautron R, Reymond J L, Amblard P. Étude in vitro de la phototoxicité de 17 anti-inflammatoires non stéroïdiens. *Nouv Dermatol* 1992; 11: 334.
17. Becker L, Eberlein-Konig B, Przybilla B. Phototoxicity of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: In vitro studies with visible light. *Acto Dermato-venereologica* 1985; 2: 372–382.
18. Ljunggren B, Lundberg K. In vivo phototoxicity of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Photodermatol* 1985; 2: 372–382.
19. Dorado Bris J M, Araguies Montanes M, Sols Candela M, Garcia Diez A. Contact sensitivity to pyrozinobutazone (Carudol*) with positive oral provocation test. *Contact Dermatitis* 1992; 26: 355–356.
20. Pigatto P D, Riboldi A, Morelli M, Altomare G F, Polenghi M. Allergic contact dermatitis from oxyphenbutazone. *Contact Dermatitis* 1985; 12: 236–237.
21. Valsecchi R, Di Landro A, Pigatto P, Cainelli T. Tiaprofenic acid photodermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis* 1989; 21: 345–346.
22. Serrano G, Fortea J M, Latasa M D, Millan F, Janes C, Bosca F, Miranda M A. Photosensitivity induced by fibric acid derivatives and its relation to photocontact dermatitis to ketoprofen. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1992; 27: 204–208.
23. Gonzalo M A, Revenga F. Multiple cutaneous sensitization to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Dermatology* 1996; 193: 59–60.
24. Gebhardt M, Reuter A, Knopf B. Allergic contact dermatitis from topical diclofenac. *Contact Dermatitis* 1994; 30: 183–184.
25. Schiavino D, Papa G, Nucera E, Shinco G, Fais L, Pirrotta R, Patriarca G. Delayed allergy to diclofenac. *Contact Dermatitis* 1992; 26: 357–358.